

Dual phosphorus and nitrogen nutrient reduction will be more effective than a phosphorus-only reduction in mitigating diatom and cyanobacterial blooms in Lake Erie, USA–Canada

Hans W. Paerl ^{®[,](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4192-574X) 1*} Justin D. Chaffin ®,² Jack H. Cheshire, 1Haley E. Plaas, ^{1,3} Malcolm A. Barnard ®, ^{4, a} Lillian B. Goerlitz,¹ Jeremy S. Braddy,¹ Alexandrea Sabo,⁵ Leah M. Nelson,¹ Lindsay Yue¹

¹Department of Earth, Marine and Environmental Sciences, Institute of Marine Sciences, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill,, Morehead City, North Carolina, USA

 2 F. T. Stone Laboratory, The Ohio State University, Put-in-Bay, Ohio, USA

 3 Department of Marine, Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, NC State University, Raleigh, North Carolina, USA

4 Department of Biology and Center for Reservoir and Aquatic System Research, Baylor University, Waco, Texas, USA

5 Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Lehigh University, Bethlehem, Pennsylvania, USA

Abstract

Lake Erie, USA–Canada, plays an important ecological and socioeconomic role but has suffered from chronic eutrophication. In particular, western Lake Erie (WLE) is the site of harmful algal blooms (HABs) which are suspected of being driven by excessive nutrient (phosphorus (P) and nitrogen (N)) inputs. During 2022 and 2023, in situ nutrient dilution and addition bioassays were conducted at a WLE bloom-impacted location to investigate whether a nutrient reduction regime would be effective in limiting phytoplankton growth during the June diatom-dominated spring blooms and August cyanobacteria-dominated summer blooms. The primary objectives of this experiment were to (1) Determine if a proposed 40% P-alone reduction would effectively reduce phytoplankton growth and mitigate blooms and (2) assess whether reductions in both P and N are more effective in controlling phytoplankton biomass than exclusive reductions in either N or P. Samples were analyzed for nutrient concentrations and growth rate responses for specific algal groups, utilizing diagnostic (for major algal groups) photopigments. Results indicated that although both 20% and 40% dilutions led to lower phytoplankton biomass and growth rates, 40% reductions were more effective. Our results support the USA–Canada Great Lakes Water Quality Agreement recommendation of a 40% P reduction, but also indicate that a parallel reduction of N input by 40% would be most effective in controlling bloom magnitudes. Overall, our findings underscore the recommendation that a year-round dual N and P 40% reduction is needed for longterm control of eutrophication and algal blooms, including cyanobacteria and diatoms, in Lake Erie.

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article.

Author Contribution Statement: HWP: Conceptualization, funding acquisition, investigation, methodology, resources, supervision, writing original draft, review & editing. JDC: Conceptualization, funding acquisition, investigation, methodology, resources, writing—review & editing. JHC: Data curation, methodology, writing—review & editing. HEP: Conceptualization, investigation, writing—original, review & editing. MAB: Investigation, methodology, writing—review & editing. LBG: Investigation, methodology, writing—review & editing. JSB: Investigation, writing—review & editing. AS: Investigation, formal analysis, methodology, writing—review & editing. LMN: Investigation, formal analysis, methodology, writing—review & editing. LY: Formal analysis, methodology, writing—review & editing.

Lake Erie (USA and Canada), the southernmost and shallowest of the Laurentian Great Lakes, has experienced nearly a century of excessive nutrient loading and eutrophication, culminating in the mid-1900s with dense blooms of nitrogen (N_2) -fixing cyanobacteria Aphanizomenon and Dolichospermum (Anabaena) (Davis [1964](#page-12-0); Matisoff and Ciborowski [2005\)](#page-13-0). After phosphorus (P) regulations were enacted during the 1970s following the Great Lakes Water Quality Agreement (GLWQA) of 1972, the lake showed signs of recovering from eutrophication and cyanobacterial blooms did not occur in the 1980s and early 1990s (DePinto et al. [1986](#page-12-0); Makarewicz [1993](#page-13-0)). However, since the late 1990s, cyanobacterial blooms dominated by the non- N_2 -fixing Microcystis spp. have become an annual summer occurrence in western Lake Erie (Stumpf et al. [2016\)](#page-14-0). In order to counter the

^{*}Correspondence: hans_paerl@unc.edu

^aPresent address: Department of Chemistry, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas, USA

deleterious effects of resurgent eutrophication, the proliferation of cyanobacterial harmful algal blooms (CyanoHABs) and central basin hypoxia, a 40% load reduction in total phosphorus (TP), including dissolved reactive P (DRP), entering the Western and Central Basins of Lake Erie has been enacted by the US and Canadian binational GLWQA to achieve a 6000 metric tons (MT) Central Basin load (GLWQA [2015](#page-13-0); US EPA [2015a\)](#page-14-0). Specifically, to address CyanoHABs in the western basin, a 40% P load reduction was recommended from the dominant watershed tributary, the Maumee River, during the March–June time frame rather than annually because there is a better correlation between bloom biomass and the March–June cumulative P load than the cumulative annual P load (Stumpf et al. [2012](#page-14-0)). The actual loading target is 860 MT of TP and 186 MT of DRP, which equates to a 40% reduction from the 2000–2015 annual average and is also equivalent to the loads observed in 2008. The target 40% P load reduction was the product of an ensemble of empirical data and processbased models (Scavia et al. [2016](#page-14-0); Verhamme et al. [2016](#page-14-0)).

There is a growing consensus among limnologists and aquatic ecologists that the availability of both N and P is key to controlling primary production mediated by microalgae, including eukaryotic taxa (diatoms, chlorophytes, cryptophytes, and various flagellates) and prokaryotic cyanobacteria (Elser et al. [2007](#page-12-0); Conley et al. [2009](#page-12-0); US EPA [2015a](#page-14-0),[b](#page-14-0)); and the percentage of USA lakes co-limited by N and P is significant (McCullough et al. [2024](#page-13-0)) and appears to have increased (Rock and Collins [2024](#page-14-0)). The GLWQA [\(2015](#page-13-0)) has specifically called for adaptive management that examines how changes in both P and N would affect CyanoHABs in Lake Erie; especially since Microcystis, the dominant bloom-former in heavilyimpacted western Lake Erie, does not fix N_2 (Steffen et al. [2014](#page-14-0)). Exclusive emphasis on P-only load reduction in the GLWQA [\(2015](#page-13-0)) has been in part based on the longstanding paradigm that P plays the major role in constraining phytoplankton biomass (Schindler et al. [2008\)](#page-14-0). However, research specific to Microcystis in western Lake Erie has shown that regenerated N plays an important role in supporting blooms (Hoffman et al. [2022](#page-13-0)). Researchers argue that additional studies at the ecosystem level are required to understand the complex ecological dynamics driving nutrient-limitation and toxicity (Stow et al. [2022\)](#page-14-0); however, a growing body of evidence involving multiyear observations and dynamic molecular models for western Lake Erie Microcystis blooms suggest that N-limitation will result in lower microcystin concentrations at the cellular level (Gobler et al. [2016](#page-13-0); Hellweger et al. [2022a](#page-13-0)). These findings point to the potentially important role of N in not only bloom dynamics but, importantly, toxicity, and thus the assumption that most nutrient best management practices will target reductions of both N and P.

In addition to the P loading targets aimed at minimizing cyanoHABs, the GLWQA ([2015\)](#page-13-0) has an additional target to minimize central basin hypoxia (dissolved oxygen [DO] < 2 mg L⁻¹) and anoxia (DO \cong 0 mg L⁻¹). The GLWQA P

loading target to reduce hypoxia is also a 40% P load reduction, but on an annual period (not just a springtime target). The justdeep enough bathymetry of the central basin results in a thin hypolimnion that was likely hypoxic prior to European settlement of the area, based on the absence of burrowing mayfly tusks in sediment cores (Reynoldson and Hamilton [1993](#page-14-0)). Recent evidence suggests that the hypoxic/anoxic area is becoming larger and forming earlier in the year with eutrophication (Zhou et al. [2013](#page-15-0); Zhou et al. [2014](#page-15-0)). Central basin hypoxia is more associated with springtime diatom blooms than summertime cyanobacterial blooms (Reavie et al. [2016](#page-14-0)). Therefore, there is a need to also determine how the diatom bloom will respond to P or P and N nutrient reductions to gain insights into how the central basin hypoxic zone will be impacted.

Previous studies addressing causative agents of eutrophication in Lake Erie noted that N_2 fixing cyanobacterial genera were also present (e.g., Anabaena [now designated Dolichospermum], Aphanizomenon) (Davis [1964;](#page-12-0) Matisoff and Ciborowski [2005\)](#page-13-0), and thus capable of supplying N internally. This led some investigators to discount the potential role of externally supplied N in controlling freshwater and coastal eutrophication (Schindler et al. [2008\)](#page-14-0). However, various studies have shown that in most water bodies harboring N_2 fixers, this process falls far short of satisfying N demands to support ecosystem-scale primary production, including eutrophic waters where cyanobacteria are often abundant (Howarth et al. [1988;](#page-13-0) Scott and McCarthy [2010;](#page-14-0) Paerl et al. [2016\)](#page-14-0). In concert, these studies point to the importance of externallyand internally-supplied N (in addition to P) in controlling freshwater eutrophication and bloom dynamics.

The objective of this study is twofold: (1) Determine if the 40% proposed P reduction will effectively reduce the growth rates of both spring–early summer diatom-dominated blooms and summer cyanobacteria-dominated blooms in the most severely impacted western Lake Erie, and (2) if concurrent reductions of N and P could lower growth rates of both blooms more than P-only reductions. Our study focused on two elevated production and bloom periods in western Lake Erie; the mid-June blooms dominated by diatoms and other eukaryotic phytoplankton taxa, and the mid-August cyanobacteriadominated bloom periods. We utilized in situ microcosm nutrient enrichment and dilution bioassays that employed both nutrient addition and dilution treatments on natural phytoplankton communities at a location frequented by blooms and routinely monitored for water quality to determine which nutrient(s) were limiting algal production and to what extent nutrient over-enrichment occurred in western Lake Erie during 2022 and 2023. In this work, we did not study an N-only reduction scenario because numerous modeling studies suggested that a P-only approach will lower cyanoHAB biomass in western Lake Erie (Scavia et al. [2016;](#page-14-0) Hellweger et al. [2022a](#page-13-0)). However, we addressed the question if reductions of both P and N will slow growth rates more than P only reductions, and we applied this question to both hypoxia-causing diatom blooms and toxin-producing cyanoHABs. Our work complements recent dilution experiment assays that investigated P-only vs. dual nutrient reductions (Barnard et al. [2021](#page-12-0); Baer et al. [2023\)](#page-12-0), but we expand upon those studies by (1) adding a focus on diatomdominated communities which are key bloom formers and hence large contributors to C fixation and hypoxia potentials (cf. Zepernick et al. [2024\)](#page-15-0), (2) quantifying how different phytoplankton groups (diatoms, green algae, cyanobacteria, and cryptophytes) each responds to the P-only vs. P and N dual reductions, and (3) including different reduction percentages (20%, 40%, and 60%, instead of just the GLWQA recommended 40%) to determine what nutrient reduction percentage will be most effective.

Methods and materials

Bioassay methods

In situ bioassay experiments were conducted at the Ohio State University Stone Laboratory at Put-in Bay, Ohio (eastern edge of the western basin of Lake Erie) to examine the impacts of nutrient enrichment and dilution on phytoplankton

production and growth rates (Fig. S1). Bioassays were deployed during mid-June and mid-August, when Lake Erie is typically dominated by diatoms and cyanobacteria, respectively (Chaffin et al. [2018a](#page-12-0)). This enabled us to evaluate seasonal nutrient limitation and proposed nutrient (P and N) reductions needed to control algal growth in two successive years (2022–2023). Western Lake Erie water $({\sim 200 \text{ liters}})$ was collected from a site 3 km west of the Stone Laboratory, on the south side of Rattlesnake Island (Location: N $41^{\circ}40.155$, W $82^{\circ}51.193$) for all bioassays (Fig. 1). Water was collected at the site in 20 liter prerinsed (with site water) Nalgene carboys. At the laboratory, carboys were emptied into a large prewashed plastic tank that was constantly stirred to maintain uniformity of the bioassay water that was dispensed into triplicated 4-L Cubitainers® for each treatment and controls. Cubitainers® were then transferred to floating "corrals" that were suspended off finger piers adjacent to the Stone Laboratory (Fig. S1). Cubitainers® are chemically-inert, polyethylene, 85% PAR transparent vessels (Paerl et al. [2011\)](#page-14-0).

Nutrient additions in bioassays typically double to triple the ambient concentration (Schelske [1984\)](#page-14-0), therefore we

Fig. 1. Map of Western Lake Erie, with an insert of bioassay water sampling site (triangle) and location of the Stone Laboratory on South Bass Island (X).

based our N and P additions on inorganic N (ammonium, NH_4^+ , and nitrate, NO_3^-) and P (PO_4^-) concentration information gathered from ongoing environmental monitoring and historic data collected near Stone Laboratory (Chaffin et al. [2018a](#page-12-0)). The nutrient dilution component was designed to test if the 40% decrease in ambient P concentrations, as targeted by the GLWQA, would decrease total phytoplankton (including bloom taxa) biomass and growth rates of dominant phytoplankton taxonomic groups, based on high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) determination of diagnostic photopigments (chlorophylls and carotenoids) (Pinckney et al. [2001](#page-14-0)). We also included a 20% dilution treatment to test how the phytoplankton would respond while approaching the 40% load reduction. We included an additional 60% dilution during the 2023 experiments in case the 40% load reduction did not achieve the desired impacts.

We used a slightly different protocol for the dilution experiments in 2022 and 2023. In 2022, we diluted the lake water with a major ion solution (MIS), as in Barnard et al. [\(2021](#page-12-0)). The 40% dilution treatment consisted of 2.1 liters of lake water and 1.4 liters of MIS, and the 20% dilution treatment consisted of 2.8 liters of lake water and 0.7 liter of MIS (Table S1). The 0% dilution treatment consisted of just 3.5 liters of lake water. All containers were enriched with 50 μmol L⁻¹ silica as Na₂SiO₃ and 10 mg C L⁻¹ as NaHCO₃ to prevent Si and C limitation. This dilution procedure with added MIS, Si, and C results in a dilution of only P and N of the ambient water, which we termed "N&P co-dilution" treatment. To compare the N&P co-dilution treatment to a P-only dilution treatment, we added 50 μ mol L⁻¹ nitrate as KNO₃ to a set of Cubitainers[®] (termed "P dilution, excess $NO₃$ ") and 50 μ mol L⁻¹ ammonium as NH₄Cl to another set of Cubitainers[®] (termed "P dilution, excess $NH₄$ ") (to test for the difference between N forms). All dilution percentages and dilution treatments were triplicated.

In the 2023 dilution experiments, we altered the experimental design to maintain a consistent initial amount of algae across all dilutions, and added a 60% dilution level (Table S2). The 60% dilution level consisted of 1 liter of lake water, 0.4 liter of 0.45 μ m-filtered lake water, and 2.1 liters of MIS. The 40% dilution level consisted of 1 liter of lake water, 1.1 liters of 0.45 μ m-filtered lake water, and 1.4 liters of MIS. The 20% dilution level consisted of 1 liter of lake water, 1.8 liters of 0.45 μ m-filtered lake water, and 0.7 liter of MIS. The 0% dilution consisted of 1 liter lake water and 2.5 liters of 0.45μ m-filtered lake water. Like the 2022 dilutions, 50 μmol L⁻¹ silica and 10 mg C L⁻¹ DIC were added. Unlike the 2022 dilutions, we did not include separate N form treatments due to the addition of the 60% dilution. Nitrate and ammonium were jointly added to the "P-only dilution" treatment.

To accompany the dilution experiments, we conducted the more-common nutrient enrichment bioassay to determine if N, P, or both nutrients were limiting phytoplankton growth in natural irradiance and temperature conditions. The enrichment experiments were set up similarly to the 0% dilutions with treatments of added 50 μ mol L⁻¹ N (as nitrate $(+NO₃)$, ammonium $(+NH₄)$, or both nitrate and ammonium $(+NO₃+NH₄))$, a P-only enrichment (5 μ mol L⁻¹ as KH₂PO₄ $[+P]$), and P and N co-enrichments $(+P+N)$.

Nutrient concentration determinations

Nutrient samples from the ambient, initial site water were collected in 50 mL Falcon tubes by collecting the filtrate from Chl *a* samples, and were frozen at -20° C until analysis. A continuous segmented flow auto-analyzer (QuAAtro SEAL Analytical, Mequon, WI) was used to quantify nitrate, nitrite, ammonium, dissolved reactive P (DRP), and silicate using standard US EPA methods (Chaffin et al. [2019\)](#page-12-0).

Phytoplankton biomass determinations

The Cubitainers® were incubated for three days and subsampled daily to quantify chlorophyll a (Chl a), chlorophyll b and carotenoid photopigments diagnostic of major algal groups by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). Photopigments were determined on 50 mL water samples collected on GFF filters, which were frozen at -20° C and subsequently extracted using a tissue grinder in 90% acetone (Arar and Collins [1992\)](#page-12-0). Extracts were analyzed by HPLC (Pinckney et al. [2001\)](#page-14-0), calibrated with a purified Chl a standard (Turner Designs, Sunnyvale, CA; Sigma Chemicals). Diagnostic photopigments (chlorophylls and carotenoids) representing major phytoplankton classes were calibrated using certified standards (DHI Horsholm, Denmark). The following diagnostic photopigments encoded for the dominant phytoplankton classes: Chlorophyll b for chlorophytes, alloxanthin for cryptophytes, fucoxanthin for diatoms, and zeaxanthin for cyanobacteria (Paerl et al. [2003](#page-13-0)). Parallel samples were collected and preserved with Lugol's iodine solution for subsequent microscopic analyses of phytoplankton taxa.

Phytoplankton growth rate calculations

Pigment concentrations used to calculate growth rates are presented in the Supporting Information document (Figs. S2–S5). Pigment concentration-derived growth rates were calculated with first-order kinetics by plotting the natural log of pigment concentration vs. time (in days) and the linear regression trend line is the growth rate (Chaffin et al. [2022\)](#page-12-0). This method for calculating growth rate has advantages over the specific growth rate equation (i.e., $\mu = (\ln(C_f) - \ln(C_0))/t_f - t_0$) in that it allows for all concentration data to be used (i.e., T_0 , T_1 , T_2 , T_3 , as opposed to using just T_0 and T_{final} data), and integrates over the entire experiment. The use of linear regression does introduce an error term; however, the error associated with linear regression decreased as growth rate increased (Fig. S6) and indicates that the differences in growth rates among treatments were associated with the dilution and treatments and not the error term. No error term can be estimated for the specific growth rate equation. Furthermore, the first-order kinetic

method and the specific growth rate equation gave nearly identical estimates of growth rates (slopes of the methods to estimate growth rates ranged from 0.987 to 1.007 for the different pigments; $R > 0.989$; Fig. S6). The calculated growth rates were then plotted against the percentage of diluted P. In these growth curves, if the P-only dilution and the N and P co-dilution curves were similar, dual nutrient management would not be supported. If the N and P co-dilution growth curve was lower than the P-only dilution growth curve, dual nutrient management would be supported.

Statistical analyses

We conducted a one-way ANOVA (ANOVA) with a post hoc Tukey test to determine if any significant differences of total Chl a existed between the treatments for the nutrient enrichment bioassays. A two-factor (P-dilution percentage X N&P co-dilution) multivariate ANOVA (MANOVA) was conducted on each experiment to determine the main effects on phytoplankton growth rates. When significant ($p < 0.05$, from Pillai's Trace statistic), follow-up two-factor ANOVAs were conducted for each phytoplankton group. IBM SPSS v29 was used for all statistical tests.

Results

June 2022

Lake water collected for the June 2022 bioassay had high nitrate $(31.8 \mu \text{mol L}^{-1})$, low ammonium $(2.2 \mu \text{mol L}^{-1})$, and low DRP concentration $(< 0.03 \mu$ mol L⁻¹, Table 1). The June 2022 bioassay had the highest initial Chl a concentration among the four bioassays and was dominated by diatoms, based on high fucoxanthin concentration (Table 1).

Table 1. The initial concentrations of the major phytoplankton groups based on taxa-specific pigments, and nitrate plus nitrite, ammonium, and dissolved reactive phosphorus (DRP) in the ambient, pre-diluted water.

	June 2022	August 2022	June 2023	August 2023
Fucoxanthin (μ g L ⁻¹)	4.213	0.473	0.126	0.226
Diatoms				
Alloxanthin (μ g L ⁻¹)	0.171	0.327	0.165	0.139
Cryptophytes				
Zeaxanthin (μ g L ⁻¹)	0.049	0.764	0.022	0.157
Cyanobacteria				
Chlorophyll b (μ g L ⁻¹)	0.248	0.523	0.041	0.085
Chlorophytes				
Chlorophyll a (μ g L ⁻¹)	12.767	8.615	1.036	2.883
Total biomass				
$NO_3 + NO_2$ (μ mol L ⁻¹)	31.85	2.56	56.56	4.004
$NH4$ (μ mol L ⁻¹)	2.15	2.55	2.15	1.06
DRP (μ mol L ⁻¹)	0	0.05	0.08	0.646

The traditional nutrient enrichment bioassay results are shown in Fig. [2.](#page-5-0) Chlorophyll *a* concentration in the postincubation control was approximately double that of the initial levels, which indicates that algal growth was sustained for 3 d on ambient nutrients, on intracellular stores of nutrients, or both. There was no significant difference in Chl *a* concentration among the control, $+NO_3$ -only, $+P$ -only, and $+P+NO₃$ treatments. The $+NH₄$ -only treatment lowered Chl a, whereas $+P+NH_4$ resulted in the highest Chl *a* concentration.

In the June 2022 dilution assays, the percentage of P diluted and whether N was in excess or co-diluted yielded significant effects (Table S1). In the June 2022 dilution assays, the ammonium enrichment (the P dilution, excess NH4 treatment) resulted in a significantly ($p < 0.001$, Table S1) lower growth rate for total algae, diatoms, cryptophytes, and green algae, indicating inhibition of growth. In contrast, cyanobacteria had a higher growth rate in the ammonium enrichment (the P dilution, excess NH_4 treatment) (Fig. [3](#page-6-0)). The main effect for percentage of P dilution was significant ($p < 0.001$, Table S1). Total algae ($p = 0.003$) and diatoms ($p = 0.011$) growth rate were lower in the 20% and 40% dilutions than the control. However, cyanobacteria growth rate was higher in the 20% and 40% dilutions than the control ($p = 0.004$). The effect of dilution was nearly significant for the cryptophytes and green algae ($p = 0.068$ and 0.054, respectively). The N&P co-dilution treatment and the P-only-dilution with excess $NO₃$ treatment resulted in a similar growth curve across the dilutions for all types of algae. The similar growth curves for P-dilution and N&P co-dilution suggest that dual nutrient management would not be effective at this time point. However, because the cyanobacteria growth rate in the N&P co-dilution was less than the P-dilution, excess NH4 treatment, dual nutrient management that targeted ammonium would be more effective at controlling cyanobacterial growth than a P-only approach. The interaction between P-dilution percentage and whether N was in excess or co-diluted did not significantly affect growth rates ($p = 0.300$).

August 2022

Lake water collected for the August 2022 bioassay had low nitrate $(2.6 \mu \text{mol L}^{-1})$, low ammonium $(2.5 \mu \text{mol L}^{-1})$, and low DRP concentrations (0.05 μ mol L⁻¹, Table 1). The August 2022 bioassay had the second highest initial Chl a concentration among the four bioassays and was dominated by cyanobacteria, based on high zeaxanthin concentration (Table 1).

In the traditional nutrient enrichment bioassay, the postincubation control, $+N$ -only enrichments, and $+P$ -only enrichments resulted in Chl a concentrations that were not significantly different among each other and similar to the ini-tial concentration (Fig. [2b](#page-5-0)). The Chl *a* concentration in the $+P$ $+NO₃$ treatment increased by threefold and the $+P+NH₄$ treatment resulted in a fourfold higher Chl a concentration. These results are consistent with P and N co-limitation.

Fig. 2. Chlorophyll a results from the nutrient enrichment bioassays conducted during June (a) and August (c) 2022 and June (b) and August (d) 2023. The initial (light gray) bar represents the preincubation concentrations, and the dark gray bars are the post-incubation treatments. The bars are the mean of three individual replicates \pm 1 standard error. The letters above the bars indicate the results of a post hoc Tukey test with the mean of A > the mean of B > the mean of C.

In the August 2022 dilution assays, the percentage of P diluted, if N was in excess or co-diluted, and their interaction had significant main effects on growth rates (Table S2). The P-only dilution, excess ammonium treatment resulted in the highest growth rates for all types of algae (Fig. [4](#page-7-0)), which suggests that the ammonium inhibition that was observed in June 2022 was not observed in August 2022. Despite the significant effects, the percentage of P dilution did not affect growth in a noticeable pattern like it did in June 2022, and was likely due to the co-limitation of both P and N. The N&P co-dilution treatment resulted in lower growth rates for total algae and all groups of algae than the P-only, excess N treatments (Fig. [4\)](#page-7-0), which suggests that dual nutrient management would lower growth more than a P-only approach.

June 2023

Lake water collected for the June 2023 bioassay had the highest nitrate concentration among the four experiments (56.6 μ mol L⁻¹), low ammonium (2.2 μ mol L⁻¹), and low DRP concentration $(0.08 \mu \text{mol L}^{-1}$, Table [1\)](#page-4-0). The June 2023 bioassay had the lowest initial Chl a concentration among the four bioassays and supported a diverse phytoplankton community (Table [1\)](#page-4-0).

In the traditional nutrient enrichment bioassay, the postincubation control and the +N-only enrichment resulted in Chl a concentrations that were not significantly different from each other, whereas the +P-only enrichment and $+NO₃+NH₄+P$ enrichment resulted in an approximately threefold higher Chl a concentration (Fig. $2c$). These results are consistent with P limitation.

In the June 2023 dilution assays, the percentage of P diluted, if N was in excess or co-diluted, and their interaction had significant effects on growth rates (Table S3). In the June 2023 dilution experiment, there was a clear growth rate reduction with increased dilutions for total algae and all four algal groups (Fig. [5\)](#page-8-0). Additionally, the N&P co-dilution resulted in significantly lower growth rates than the P-only dilution, excess N treatments for total algae and all groups, which supports dual nutrient management. We included a 60% dilution treatment during the 2023 experiments, and at 60% dilution, the P-only and the P&N co-dilution resulted in the same growth rate for all groups except the cyanobacteria, suggesting that for a P-alone approach to be as effective as dual nutrient management, P must be decreased by 60% (Fig. [5](#page-8-0)).

Fig. 3. Pigment-based growth rates in the June 2022 dilution experiments as a function of phosphorus (P) concentration dilution. The solid lines are co-dilutions of both nitrogen (N) and P, the dotted lines are the P-only dilution with excess nitrate (50 μ mol L⁻¹), and the dashed lines are a P-only dilution with excess ammonium (50 μ mol L⁻¹). The values are the mean of three individual replicates \pm 1 standard error. Figure designations A through E refer to the different algal groups examined.

August 2023

Lake water collected for the June 2023 bioassay had low nitrate $(4.0 \mu \text{mol L}^{-1})$, low ammonium $(1.0 \mu \text{mol L}^{-1})$, and the highest DRP concentration of the four experiments (0.65 μ mol L⁻¹, Table [1\)](#page-4-0). The August 2023 bioassay had the second lowest initial Chl a concentration among the four bioassays and was dominated by cyanobacteria, based on high zeaxanthin concentration (Table [1\)](#page-4-0).

In the traditional nutrient enrichment bioassay for August 2023, the Chl a concentrations in the control, $+N$ -only enrichment, and the $+P$ -only enrichment did not significantly differ from each other and had Chl a concentrations that were

Fig. 4. Pigment-based growth rates in the August 2022 dilution experiments as a function of phosphorus (P) concentration dilution. The solid lines are co-dilutions of both nitrogen (N) and P, the dotted lines are the P-only dilution with excess nitrate (50 μ mol L⁻¹), and the dashed lines are a P-only dilution with excess ammonium (50 μ mol L $^{-1}$). The values are the mean of three individual replicates \pm 1 standard error. Figure designations A through E refer to the different algal groups examined.

similar to the initial level, and the $+NO_3+NH_4+P$ enrichment increased Chl a concentration by approximately threefold (Fig. [2d\)](#page-5-0). These results are consistent with N and P colimitation.

In the August 2023 dilution assays, the main effect of the percentage of P diluted was not significant ($p = 0.284$), whether N was in excess or co-diluted was significant $(p < 0.001)$, and their interaction was not significant $(p = 0.149;$ Table S4; Fig. [6](#page-9-0)). The dilution assays in August 2023 did not give clear results for the effects of P-only vs. P&N co-dilution (Fig. 6). The diatoms had significantly higher growth rates in the P&N co-dilution ($p = 0.013$). The

Fig. 5. Pigment-based growth rates in the June 2023 dilution experiments as a function of phosphorus (P) concentration dilution. The solid lines are co-dilutions of both nitrogen (N) and P, and the dashed lines are a P-only dilution with excess N (25 μ mol L⁻¹ of each ammonium and nitrate). The values are the mean of three individual replicates ± 1 standard error. Figure designations A through E refer to the different algal groups examined.

cyanobacteria and green algae had significantly lower growth rates in the P&N co-dilutions ($p = 0.010$ and 0.003, respectively), but oddly, at 20% P-dilution, the growth rates for both groups were the same, whether or not N was in excess or co-diluted (Fig. [6](#page-9-0)).

Discussion

We are the first to show together that co-dilutions of both P and N lowered growth rates during times when N was not limiting (June and dominated by diatoms) and that phytoplankton requires enrichments of both P and N to reach their

Fig. 6. Pigment-based growth rates in the August 2023 dilution experiments as a function of phosphorus (P) concentration dilution. The solid lines are co-dilutions of both nitrogen (N) and P, and the dashed lines are a P-only dilution with excess N (25 μ mol L⁻¹ of each ammonium and nitrate). The values are the mean of three individual replicates ± 1 standard error. Figure designations A through E refer to the differnt algal groups examined.

highest biomass during times when cyanobacteria form blooms (August). Cyanobacterial bloom biomass would likely be higher during the late summer and fall if not for N limitation due to low ambient nitrate and ammonium concentra-tions (Table [1\)](#page-4-0), a small abundance of N_2 fixing taxa compared to Microcystis (Nauman et al. [2024](#page-13-0)), and insufficient ammonium regeneration to keep up with N demand (Hoffman et al. [2022](#page-13-0)). While prior research focus has largely been on summer and fall (July–early October) cyanobacterial blooms (e.g., Chaffin et al. [2018b](#page-12-0); Baer et al. [2023\)](#page-12-0), we decided to

additionally examine nutrient limitation of spring diatom blooms, as they comprise an important part of overall annual primary production, carbon flux and hypoxia dynamics in the lake (cf. Zepernick et al. [2024](#page-15-0); Reavie et al. [2016\)](#page-14-0). Dilution bioassays indicate that both 20% and 40% dilutions are capable of effectively reducing phytoplankton biomass and growth rates, but 40% reductions were more consistently and significantly effective. Overall, results substantiate the GLWQA recommended implementation of a 40% reduction in P inputs (GLWQA [2015](#page-13-0)); however, our results also point to the need for parallel reductions in N inputs to effectively control algal production and bloom potentials during both diatom and cyanobacterial bloom periods. We suggest that both P and N reductions should be similar in magnitude (40%) as an initial target, with possible adjustments to these reductions once their effectiveness can be gauged by employing long-term (multi-annual) monitoring. Furthermore, our experimental design and results support dual P and N management, and we, along with others advocating for dual nutrient management, do not recommend N-only management for Lake Erie or other freshwater bodies experiencing eutrophication and increasing

bloom activities. A complicating factor when expecting short-term (weeks to months) benefits of nutrient reductions on eutrophication and algal blooms is the chronic buildup of legacy nutrients accumulating in both watershed and in-lake sediments over nearly a century of accelerated nutrient loading to the lake. King et al. ([2017](#page-13-0)) showed that DRP concentrations of agricultural runoff within the western Lake Erie are consistently high from storm to storm, whereas nitrate concentrations decrease from storm to storm, which indicates legacy P, but not legacy N, in the watershed. Legacy P from the lake bottom can be released into the water column following wind-driven resuspension, particularly in the spring/early summertime when episodic thunderstorms and bloom initiation coincide (Del Giudice et al. [2021\)](#page-12-0) and from stratification and anoxic hypolimnion (Gibbons and Bridgeman [2020](#page-12-0)). Legacy nutrients in lake sediments is particularly true for P, which unlike N does not have a way of "escaping" as gaseous forms (Paerl et al. [2016](#page-14-0)). Recent studies on shallow lakes have emphasized this important distinction in the long-term roles that N and P play once external loading has been reduced (Shatwell and Köhler [2019](#page-14-0); Xu et al. [2021](#page-15-0); Graeber et al. [2024](#page-13-0)). These studies emphasize the legacy loading effect of P, where the time scales for "weaning" the system of P tend to be much longer than for N, because N can be lost via denitrification and ammonification, while P largely continues cycling between the sediments and water column and is mainly lost via advective flushing out of the system (Holmroos et al. [2012;](#page-13-0) Scott et al. [2019](#page-14-0)). This distinction has served as the rationale for aggressively reducing external loads of N along with P (Lewis and Wurtsbaugh [2008](#page-13-0); Paerl et al. [2016](#page-14-0); Wurtsbaugh et al. [2019](#page-15-0)).

It is essential to pursue a nutrient reduction strategy for Lake Erie that includes both N and P expediently, as the benefits will take some time to manifest themselves. The sooner a dual nutrient strategy is implemented, the shorter the delay between action and benefits will be realized. There are examples where dual nutrient reductions have yielded benefits within a matter of months to several years, depending on size, morphology and internal cycling dynamics of N and P (Kronvang et al. [2005;](#page-13-0) Søndergaard et al. [2017;](#page-14-0) Shatwell and Köhler [2019](#page-14-0)). For example, Shatwell and Köhler ([2019\)](#page-14-0) showed that N concentrations in the shallow, eutrophic Lake Müggelsee (Germany) responded immediately to loading reduction whereas P concentrations remained elevated for about 20 years; evidence that reducing N in addition to P will lead to more rapid recovery from eutrophication than P reductions alone. Based on these and current studies, the benefits of a long-term goal of a dual nutrient reduction strategy for stemming freshwater eutrophication and HABs are now recognized and beginning to be implemented by environmental agencies globally (US EPA [2015a;](#page-14-0) Tammeorg et al. [2023;](#page-14-0) Janse et al. [2024](#page-13-0)). Given its diverse and dynamic water- and airshed, urban and industrial centers and socioeconomic importance, Lake Erie represents a formidable but necessary challenge in achieving this goal.

The debate over dual nutrient management is centered around whether or not N should be managed in conjunction with P, and is not arguing for N-only management. Arguments against dual nutrient management often reference small-scale experimental results, like bottle assays, in which phytoplankton biomass was stimulated by the addition of N and P, whereas the question about dual management is about the need to decrease N and P (Schindler [2012](#page-14-0); Schindler et al. [2016](#page-14-0)). Deploying the dilution assay approach counters this argument as it *decreases* both N and P. Dilution assays are less commonly used than the more common nutrient enrichment assays (Schelske [1984](#page-14-0)), but have been used before to determine the limiting nutrient in hypereutrophic waters when phytoplankton would not respond to nutrient enrichment (Paerl and Bowles [1987](#page-13-0); Carrick et al. [1993](#page-12-0)). Diluting lake water in a stepwise fashion allowed us to generate growth rate curves vs. the dilution percentage. However, because dilution experiments dilute all nutrients, the essential nutrients were amended back into the lake water (i.e., MIS, C, and Si), and amending the diluted water with all but one nutrient (P) allowed us to quantify the effects of that one nonamended nutrient. We built upon this approach to amend with all but P and N to quantify the effects of a dual nutrient management scenario. Collectively, these results support the need for dual nutrient management and that the arguments made by Schindler ([2012](#page-14-0)) are not supported.

Nitrogen availability has been linked to cyanotoxin (microcystin) production by Lake Erie Microcystis (Gobler et al. [2016](#page-13-0)). The dual nutrient vs. P-only management debate was highlighted by a modeling result that claimed a 40% P reduction will make Lake Erie Microcystis blooms more-toxic (Hellweger et al. [2022a\)](#page-13-0). Their model suggested that Microcystis

blooms will have 20% less biomass but microcystin concentrations will increase by 15% to 25% due to clearer water, higher oxidative stress (H_2O_2) , and a higher availability of nitrate, which favors microcystin-producing strains (Hellweger et al. [2022a\)](#page-13-0). However, others wrote rebuttals claiming that Hellweger et al.'s model excluded too many in-lake processes and included too many simplifications extrapolating cellularlevel based model to the ecosystem (Huisman et al. [2022](#page-13-0); Stow et al. [2022](#page-14-0)); but also see the response to comments (Wilhelm et al. [2022](#page-15-0); Hellweger et al. [2022b](#page-13-0)). Regardless of the impacts on cellular toxicity, the impacts on bloom biomass and overall primary production are clear in that both N and P enrichment play a key stimulatory role during bloom succession. Furthermore, the majority of the P-only vs. dual nutrient management debate has focused solely on planktonic blooms. This debate would be more encompassing if benthic blooms were also factored in. For example, benthic cyanobacteria biomass in Lake Erie in situ nutrient diffusing substrata experiments were highest in treatments that elevated both P and ammonium (Nauman et al. [2024\)](#page-13-0), which are also stimulatory for planktonic Microcystis blooms and is compelling evidence for dual nutrient management.

Interestingly, we note that in the June 2022 bioassay, only cyanobacteria were stimulated by ammonium enrichment (50 μ mol L⁻¹), while this proved inhibitory to eukaryotic algal groups. Diatoms are known to be nitrate specialist (Glibert et al. [2016](#page-13-0); Swarbrick et al. [2019](#page-14-0)) and some marine diatoms can be inhibited by ammonia (NH_3) concentrations greater than 100 μ mol L⁻¹ (Bates et al. [1993](#page-12-0)). Other studies have reported a range of ammonium concentrations in natural systems that suppress diatom growth—range from as low as 12μ mol L⁻¹ (Yoshiyama and Sharp [2006](#page-15-0)) to high as 124 μ mol L⁻¹ (Liu et al. [2022\)](#page-13-0). The toxicity of ammonia/ ammonium increases with increasing pH as more of it is in the unionized, ammonia form (Azov and Goldman [1982](#page-12-0)); however, at pH levels in June (\sim 8.3), majority should be ionized as nontoxic ammonium. Chlorophytes are known to be more tolerant than diatoms to high levels of ammonia, and cyanobacteria show a preference for reduced forms of N (i.e., ammonium) (Blomquist et al. [1994;](#page-12-0) Newell et al. [2019](#page-13-0)), which was supported by our study. However, the ammonium inhibition was somewhat relieved by P additions; most likely because P was the limiting nutrient at that time. Therefore, particular attention should be paid to all forms of N discharged to the lake as efforts to mitigate CyanoHABs are implemented. On the other hand, ammonium had a stimulatory effect on the growth rate of all algae during August 2022, including the diatoms (Fig. [4b](#page-7-0)). We cannot determine from our data collected why ammonium was inhibitory (toxic or otherwise) during June but stimulatory in August.

The June experiments of both years showed a clear growth rate reduction with increased P dilution and a P and N co-dilution (Figs. [3a,](#page-6-0) [5a](#page-8-0)); however, that result was not obtained during the August experiments (Figs. [4a,](#page-7-0) [6a\)](#page-9-0). The likely explanation for the nonsignificant effect of dilution percent on phytoplankton growth rate in the August experiments was the low concentrations of ambient DRP, nitrate, and ammonium (Table [1](#page-4-0)), as the enrichment experiment showed co-limitation of N and P (Fig. [2](#page-5-0)). Collectively, these results suggest that the dilution assay method is better suited for the spring and early summer when nutrient concentrations are high enough to be diluted. While P was the primary limiting nutrient during the June experiment and many other reports suggest primary P limitation in the early summer of previous years in Lake Erie (Chaffin et al. [2013;](#page-12-0) Chaffin et al. [2018b\)](#page-12-0), the fact that the N&P co-dilution treatment lowered the growth rates more than P-only dilution suggests that N limitation can be induced during the early summer. However, in late summer and fall, once nitrate has been depleted in the water column and ammonium regeneration cannot totally meet the N demand (Hoffman et al. [2022\)](#page-13-0), phytoplankton are constrained by both P and N. Because we showed that N limitation can be induced in the early summer, and it is well known that low N availability constrains growth in late summer and fall, these findings provide strong support that dual nutrient management will be more effective than a P-only approach at minimizing both HAB biomass and central basin hypoxia that is connected to springtime diatom blooms (Reavie et al. [2016](#page-14-0)).

The goal of the GLWQA ([2015](#page-13-0)) is to reduce P loading into Lake Erie, and there is no target for in-lake P concentration. Therefore, the main caveat of our design is that we tested reduction in concentrations with the assumption that reduced loads will result in reduced concentrations. However, there is only a weak positive correlation between springtime Maumee River P load and in-lake concentration during the summer, and that correlation becomes weaker further into the lake (Rowland et al. [2020\)](#page-14-0). There is no feasible method to experimentally test how a P load reduction will affect algal growth in Lake Erie. While the dilution experiments do not test the load reduction scenario, they offer the only experimental method available to test how less nutrients will affect phytoplankton growth. Numerous modeling exercises have been conducted on load reductions and suggest the P load reductions will lower phytoplankton biomass (Scavia et al. [2016](#page-14-0); Verhamme et al. [2016\)](#page-14-0), but no modeling study included a conutrient (P and N) scenario. The third option to evaluate P load reduction is to collect new empirical data on P load and bloom biomass annually and see how that relationship changes over time (i.e., Stumpf et al. [2012,](#page-14-0) [2016](#page-14-0)). Cost–benefit analysis of a P-only approach and dual nutrient management need to be conducted, but there are cost-effective strategies of N management from agriculture lands (Gu et al. [2023\)](#page-13-0). Making assessments of how phytoplankton respond to P or P and N load reductions should be based on an ensemble of models, empirical data, and experiments and evaluated with an adaptive management mindset.

Conclusions

Overall, our results agree with previous report (Baer et al. 2023) that a 40% reduction in both P and N will be more effective at reducing cyanobacterial blooms in Lake Erie than a P-only approach. Additionally, our results indicate that a 40% reduction in both P and N will slow diatom bloom growth, and therefore, lessen the carbon load to the central basin and minimize hypoxia. It is stressed that nutrient input reductions should be practiced on a year-round basis to mitigate both spring and summer blooms, which will also lessen central basin hypoxia. While the emphasis should be placed on mitigating problematic summer CyanoHABs, spring diatom blooms contribute significant amounts of organic carbon that will enhance internal loading, especially of P, stored in sediments from many decades of enhanced loading (i.e., legacy P). This constitutes a biologically-available source of P and N supporting summer cyanobacterial blooms. Controlling watershed inputs of both P and N will be beneficial in ultimately reducing the internal legacy pool of these nutrients and achieving the goal of reversing eutrophication and mitigating Cyano-HABs and reducing central basin hypoxia.

Data availability statement

Data used in this manuscript are listed and provided in Supporting Information. Data are available upon request from the senior author.

References

- Arar, E. J., and G. B. Collins. 1992. In vitro determination of chlorophyll a and pheophytin a in marine and freshwater phytoplankton by fluorescence. In USEPA methods for the determination of chemical substances in marine and estuarine environmental samples, EPA/600/R-92/121, Cincinnati, OH.
- Azov, Y., and J. C. Goldman. 1982. Free ammonia inhibition of algal photosynthesis in intensive cultures. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 43: 735–739. doi[:10.1128/aem.43.4.](https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.43.4.735-739.1982) [735-739.1982](https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.43.4.735-739.1982)
- Baer, M. M., C. M. Godwin, and T. H. Johengen. 2023. The effect of single versus dual nutrient decreases on phytoplankton growth rates, community composition, and microcystin concentration in the western basin of Lake Erie. Harmful Algae 23: 2382. doi:[10.1016/j.hal.2023.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hal.2023.102382) [102382](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hal.2023.102382)
- Barnard, M. A., and others. 2021. Roles of nutrient limitation on western Lake Erie CyanoHAB toxin production. Toxins 13: 47. doi[:10.3390/toxins13010047](https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins13010047)
- Bates, S. S., J. Worms, and J. C. Smith. 1993. Effects of ammonium and nitrate on growth and domoic acid production by Nitzschia pungens in batch culture. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 50: 1248–1254. doi[:10.1139/f93-141](https://doi.org/10.1139/f93-141)
- Blomquist, P., A. Pettersson, and P. Hysenstrand. 1994. Ammonium nitrogen: A key regulatory factor causing dominance of non-nitrogen fixing cyanobacteria in aquatic systems. Arch. Hydrobiol. 132: 141–164. doi:[10.1127/](https://doi.org/10.1127/archiv-hydrobiol/132/1994/141) [archiv-hydrobiol/132/1994/141](https://doi.org/10.1127/archiv-hydrobiol/132/1994/141)
- Carrick, H. J., C. L. Schelske, F. J. Aldridge, and M. F. Coveney. 1993. Assessment of phytoplankton nutrient limitation in productive waters: Application of dilution bioassays. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 50: 2208–2221. doi[:10.1139/F93-247](https://doi.org/10.1139/F93-247)
- Chaffin, J. D., T. B. Bridgeman, and D. L. Bade. 2013. Nitrogen constrains the growth of late summer cyanobacterial blooms in Lake Erie. Adv. Microbiol. 3: 16–26. doi:[10.4236/](https://doi.org/10.4236/aim.2013.36A003) [aim.2013.36A003](https://doi.org/10.4236/aim.2013.36A003)
- Chaffin, J. D., D. D. Kane, K. Stanislawczyk, and E. M. Parker. 2018a. Accuracy of data buoys for measurement of cyanobacteria, chlorophyll, and turbidity in a large lake (Lake Erie, North America): Implications for estimation of cyanobacterial bloom parameters from water quality sonde measurements. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 25: 25175–25189. doi[:10.1007/s11356-018-2612-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-018-2612-z)
- Chaffin, J. D., T. W. Davis, D. J. Smith, M. M. Baer, and G. J. Dick. 2018b. Interactions between nitrogen form, loading rate, and light intensity on Microcystis and Planktothrix growth and microcystin production. Harmful Algae 73: 84–97. doi:[10.1016/j.hal.2018.02.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hal.2018.02.001)
- Chaffin, J. D., and others. 2019. Cyanobacterial blooms in the central basin of Lake Erie: Potentials for cyanotoxins and environmental drivers. J. Great Lakes Res. 45: 277–289. doi: [10.1016/j.jglr.2018.12.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jglr.2018.12.006)
- Chaffin, J. D., and others. 2022. Quantification of microcystin production and biodegradation rates in the western basin of Lake Erie. Limnol. Oceanogr. 67: 1470–1483. doi:[10.](https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.12096) [1002/lno.12096](https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.12096)
- Conley, D. J., H. W. Paerl, R. W. Howarth, D. F. Boesch, S. P. Seitzinger, K. E. Havens, C. Lancelot, and G. E. Likens. 2009. Controlling eutrophication: Nitrogen and phosphorus. Science 323: 1014–1015. doi[:10.1126/science.1167755](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1167755)
- Davis, C. C. 1964. Evidence for the eutrophication of Lake Erie from phytoplankton records. Limnol. Oceanogr. 9: 275–283. doi:[10.4319/lo.1964.9.3.0275](https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1964.9.3.0275)
- Del Giudice, D., S. Fang, D. Scavia, T. W. Davis, M. A. Evans, and D. R. Obenour. 2021. Elucidating controls on cyanobacteria bloom timing and intensity via Bayesian mechanistic modeling. Sci. Total Environ. 755: 142487. doi:[10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.142487) [1016/j.scitotenv.2020.142487](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.142487)
- DePinto, J. V., T. C. Young, and L. M. McIlroy. 1986. Great Lakes water quality improvement. Environ. Sci. Technol. 20: 752–759. doi[:10.1021/es00150a001](https://doi.org/10.1021/es00150a001)
- Elser, J. J. M. E. S., and others. 2007. Global analysis of nitrogen and phosphorus limitation of primary producers in freshwater, marine and terrestrial ecosystems. Ecol. Lett. 10: 1124–1134. doi:[10.1111/j.1461-0248.2007.01113.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2007.01113.x)
- Gibbons, K. J., and T. B. Bridgeman. 2020. Effect of temperature on phosphorus flux from anoxic western Lake Erie

sediments. Water Res. 182: 116022. doi:[10.1016/j.watres.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2020.116022) [2020.116022](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2020.116022)

- Glibert, P. M., and others. 2016. Pluses and minuses of ammonium and nitrate uptake and assimilation by phytoplankton and implications for productivity and community composition, with emphasis on nitrogen-enriched conditions. Limnol. Oceanogr. 61: 165–197. doi[:10.1002/lno.](https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.10203) [10203](https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.10203)
- Gobler, C. J., J. A. M. Burkholder, T. W. Davis, M. J. Harke, T. Johengen, C. A. Stow, and D. B. Van de Waal. 2016. The dual role of nitrogen supply in controlling the growth and toxicity of cyanobacterial blooms. Harmful Algae 54: 87–97. doi:[10.1016/j.hal.2016.01.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hal.2016.01.010)
- Graeber, D., M. J. McCarthy, T. Shatwell, D. Borchard, E. Jeppesen, M. Søndergaard, T. L. Lauridsen, and T. A. Davidson. 2024. Consistent stoichiometric long-term relationships between nutrients and chlorophyll-a across shallow lakes. Nat. Commun. 15: 809. doi[:10.1038/s41467-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-45115-3) [024-45115-3](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-45115-3)
- Great Lakes Water Quality Agreement (GLWQA). 2015. Recommended phosphorus loading targets for Lake Erie— Annex 4 Objectives and Targets Task Team Final Report to the Nutrients Annex Subcommittee. Recommended Phosphorus Loading Targets for Lake Erie—May 2015 (epa.gov).
- Gu, B., and others. 2023. Cost-effective mitigation of nitrogen pollution from global croplands. Nature 613: 77–84. doi: [10.1038/s41586-022-05481-8](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-05481-8)
- Hellweger, F. L., R. M. Martin, F. Eigemann, D. J. Smith, G. J. Dick, and S. W. Wilhelm. 2022a. Models predict planned phosphorus load reduction will make Lake Erie more toxic. Science 376: 1001–1005. doi[:10.1126/science.abm6791](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abm6791)
- Hellweger, F. L., and others. 2022b. Response to comment on "Models predict planned phosphorus load reduction will make Lake Erie more toxic". Science 378: 2277. doi:[10.](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.ade2277) [1126/science.ade2277](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.ade2277)
- Hoffman, D. K., M. J. McCarthy, A. R. Boedecker, J. A. Myers, and S. E. Newell. 2022. The role of internal nitrogen loading in supporting non-N-fixing harmful cyanobacterial blooms in the water column of a large eutrophic lake. Limnol. Oceanogr. 67: 2028–2041. doi:[10.](https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.12185) [1002/lno.12185](https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.12185)
- Holmroos, H., S. Hietanen, J. Niemistö, and J. Horppila. 2012. Sediment resuspension and denitrification affect the nitrogen to phosphorus ratio of shallow lake waters. Fundam. Appl. Limnol. 180: 93–205. doi[:10.1127/1863-9135/2012/](https://doi.org/10.1127/1863-9135/2012/0223) [0223](https://doi.org/10.1127/1863-9135/2012/0223)
- Howarth, R. W., R. Marino, and J. J. Cole. 1988. Nitrogen fixation in freshwater, estuarine, and marine ecosystems. 2. Biogeochemical control. Limnol. Oceanogr. 33: 688–701. doi[:10.4319/lo.1988.33.4part2.0688](https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1988.33.4part2.0688)
- Huisman, J., E. Dittman, J. Fastner, J. M. Schuurmans, J. T. Scott, D. B. Van de Wall, P. Visser, M. Welker, and I. Chorus. 2022. Comment on "Models predict planned

phosphorus load reduction will make Lake Erie more toxic". Science 378: 9959. doi[:10.1126/science.add9959](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.add9959)

- Janse, J. H., and others. 2024. Ecosystems: Impacts of anthropogenic nitrogen inputs on terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems [Chapter 14]. In M. A. Sutton and others [eds.], The international nitrogen assessment. Cambridge Univ. Press.
- King, K. W., M. R. Williams, L. T. Johnson, D. R. Smith, G. A. LaBarge, and N. R. Fausey. 2017. Phosphorus availability in western Lake Erie Basin drainage waters: Legacy evidence across spatial scales. J. Environ. Qual. 46: 466–469. doi:[10.](https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq2016.11.0434) [2134/jeq2016.11.0434](https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq2016.11.0434)
- Kronvang, B., E. Jeppesen, D. J. Conley, M. Søndergaard, S. E. Larsen, N. B. Ovesen, and J. Carstensen. 2005. Nutrient pressures and ecological responses to nutrient loading reductions in Danish streams, lakes and coastal waters. J. Hydrol. 304: 274–288. doi[:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2004.07.035](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2004.07.035)
- Lewis, W. M., and W. A. Wurtsbaugh. 2008. Control of lacustrine phytoplankton by nutrients: Erosion of the phosphorus paradigm. Int. Rev. Hydrobiol. 93: 446–465. doi:[10.](https://doi.org/10.1002/iroh.200811065) [1002/iroh.200811065](https://doi.org/10.1002/iroh.200811065)
- Liu, X., Y. Li, R. Shen, M. Zhang, and F. Chen. 2022. Reducing nutrient increases diatom biomass in a subtropical eutrophic lake, China—do the ammonium concentration and nitrate to ammonium ratio play a role? Water Res. 218: 118493. doi[:10.1016/j.watres.2022.118493](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2022.118493)
- Makarewicz, J. C. 1993. Phytoplankton biomass and species composition in Lake Erie, 1970 to 1987. J. Great Lakes Res. 19: 258–274. doi[:10.1016/S0380-1330\(93\)71216-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0380-1330(93)71216-2)
- Matisoff, G., and J. J. H. Ciborowski. 2005. Lake Erie trophic status collaborative study. J. Great Lakes Res. 31: 1–10. doi: [10.1016/S0380-1330\(05\)70300-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0380-1330(05)70300-2)
- McCullough, I., X. Sun, P. Hanly, and P. A. Soranno. 2024. Knowing your limits: Patterns and drivers of nutrient limitation and nutrient–chlorophyll relationships in US lakes. Limnol. Oceanogr. Letters. doi[:10.1002/lol2.10420](https://doi.org/10.1002/lol2.10420)
- Nauman, C., K. Stanislawczyk, L. A. Reitz, and J. D. Chaffin. 2024. The spatiotemporal distribution of potential saxitoxin-producing cyanobacteria in western Lake Erie. J. Great Lakes Res. 50: 102342. doi[:10.1016/j.jglr.2024.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jglr.2024.102342) [102342](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jglr.2024.102342)
- Newell, S. E., T. W. Davis, T. H. Johengen, D. Gossiaux, A. Burtner, D. Palladino, and M. J. McCarthy. 2019. Reduced forms of nitrogen are a driver of non-nitrogen-fixing harmful cyanobacterial blooms and toxicity in Lake Erie. Harmful Algae 81: 86–93. doi[:10.1016/j.hal.2018.11.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hal.2018.11.003)
- Paerl, H. W., and N. D. Bowles. 1987. Dilution bioassays: Their application to assessments of nutrient limitation in hypereutrophic waters. Hydrobiologia 146: 265–273. doi:[10.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00016348) [1007/BF00016348](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00016348)
- Paerl, H. W., L. M. Valdes, J. L. Pinckney, M. F. Piehler, J. Dyble, and P. H. Moisander. 2003. Phytoplankton photopigments as indicators of estuarine and coastal eutrophication. Bioscience 53: 953–964 doi:[10.1641/0006-3568\(2003\)](https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568(2003)053[0953:PPAIOE]2.0.CO;2) [053\[0953:PPAIOE\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568(2003)053[0953:PPAIOE]2.0.CO;2)
- Paerl, H. W., H. Xu, M. J. McCarthy, G. Zhu, B. Qin, Y. Li, and W. S. Gardner. 2011. Controlling harmful cyanobacterial blooms in a hyper-eutrophic lake (Lake Taihu, China): The need for a dual nutrient (N & P) management strategy. Water Res. 45: 1973–1983. doi:[10.1016/j.watres.2010.09.018](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2010.09.018)
- Paerl, H. W., and others. 2016. It takes two to tango: When and where dual nutrient (N & P) reductions are needed to protect lakes and downstream ecosystems. Environ. Sci. Technol. 50: 10805–10813. doi[:10.1021/acs.est.6b02575](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b02575)
- Pinckney, J. L., T. L. Richardson, D. F. Millie, and H. W. Paerl. 2001. Application of photopigment biomarkers for quantifying microalgal community composition and in situ growth rates. Org. Geochem. 32: 585–595. doi:[10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0146-6380(00)00196-0) [S0146-6380\(00\)00196-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0146-6380(00)00196-0)
- Reavie, E. D., and others. 2016. Winter–spring diatom production in Lake Erie is an important driver of summer hypoxia. J. Great Lakes Res. 42: 608–618. doi[:10.1016/j.jglr.2016.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jglr.2016.02.013) [02.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jglr.2016.02.013)
- Reynoldson, T. B., and A. L. Hamilton. 1993. Historic changes in populations of burrowing mayflies (Hexagenia limbata) from Lake Erie based on sediment tusk profiles. J. Great Lakes Res. **19**: 250-257. doi:[10.1016/S0380-1330\(93\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0380-1330(93)71215-0) [71215-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0380-1330(93)71215-0)
- Rock, L. A., and S. M. Collins. 2024. A broad-scale look at nutrient limitation and a shift toward Co-limitation in United States Lakes. Environ. Sci. Technol. 58: 11482–11491. doi:[10.1021/acs.est.4c03135](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.4c03135)
- Rowland, F. E., and others. 2020. Recent patterns in Lake Erie phosphorus and chlorophyll a concentrations in response to changing loads. Environ. Sci. Technol. 54: 835–841. doi: [10.1021/acs.est.9b05326](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b05326)
- Scavia, D., J. V. DePinto, and I. Bertani. 2016. A multi-model approach to evaluating target phosphorus loads for Lake Erie. J. Great Lakes Res. 42: 1139–1150. doi[:10.1016/j.jglr.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jglr.2016.09.007) [2016.09.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jglr.2016.09.007)
- Schelske, C. L. 1984. In situ and natural phytoplankton assemblage bioassays, p. 15–47. In L. E. Shubert [ed.], Algae as ecological indicators. Academic Press.
- Schindler, D. W. 2012. The dilemma of controlling cultural eutrophication of lakes. Proc. Royal Soc. B: Biol. Sci. 279: 4322–4333. doi[:10.1098/rspb.2012.1032](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2012.1032)
- Schindler, D. W., and others. 2008. Eutrophication of lakes cannot be controlled by reducing nitrogen input: Results of a 37 year whole ecosystem experiment. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **105**: 11254-11258. doi:[10.1073/pnas.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0805108105) [0805108105](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0805108105)
- Schindler, D. W., S. R. Carpenter, S. C. Chapra, R. E. Hecky, and D. M. Orihel. 2016. Reducing phosphorus to curb lake eutrophication is a success. Environ. Sci. Technol. 50: 8923–8929. doi[:10.1021/acs.est.6b02204](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b02204)
- Scott, J. T., and M. J. McCarthy. 2010. Nitrogen fixation may not balance the nitrogen pool in lakes over timescales relevant to eutrophication management. Limnol. Oceanogr. 55: 1265–1270. doi:[10.4319/lo.2010.55.3.1265](https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2010.55.3.1265)
- Scott, J. T., M. J. McCarthy, and H. W. Paerl. 2019. Nitrogen transformations differentially affect nutrientlimited primary production in lakes of varying trophic state. Limnol. Oceanogr. Letters 4: 96–104. doi:[10.1002/](https://doi.org/10.1002/lol2.10109) [lol2.10109](https://doi.org/10.1002/lol2.10109)
- Shatwell, T., and J. Köhler. 2019. Decreased nitrogen loading controls summer cyanobacterial blooms without promoting nitrogen-fixing taxa: Long-term response of a shallow lake. Limnol. Oceanogr. 64: S166–S178. doi:[10.1002/lno.11002](https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.11002)
- Søndergaard, M., T. L. Lauridsen, L. S. Johansson, and E. Jeppesen. 2017. Nitrogen or phosphorus limitation in lakes and its impact on phytoplankton biomass and submerged macrophyte cover. Hydrobiologia 795: 35–48. doi: [10.1007/s10750-017-3110-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-017-3110-x)
- Steffen, M. M., B. S. Belisle, S. B. Watson, G. L. Boyer, and S. W. Wilhelm. 2014. Review: Status, causes and controls of cyanobacterial blooms in Lake Erie. J. Great Lakes Res. 40: 215–225. doi:[10.1016/j.jglr.2013.12.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jglr.2013.12.012)
- Stow, C. A., R. P. Stumpf, M. D. Rowe, L. T. Johnson, H. J. Carrick, and R. Yerubandi. 2022. Model assumptions limit implications for nitrogen and phosphorus management. J. Great Lakes Res. 48: 1735–1737. doi[:10.1016/j.jglr.2022.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jglr.2022.09.003) [09.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jglr.2022.09.003)
- Stumpf, R. P., T. T. Wynne, D. B. Baker, and G. L. Fahnenstiel. 2012. Interannual variability of cyanobacterial blooms in Lake Erie. PLoS One 7: e42444. doi:[10.1371/journal.pone.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0042444) [0042444](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0042444)
- Stumpf, R. P., L. T. Johnson, T. T. Wynne, and D. B. Baker. 2016. Forecasting annual cyanobacterial bloom biomass to inform management decisions in Lake Erie. J. Great Lakes Res. 42: 1174–1183. doi[:10.1016/j.jglr.2016.08.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jglr.2016.08.006)
- Swarbrick, V. J., G. L. Simpson, P. M. Glibert, and P. R. Leavitt. 2019. Differential stimulation and suppression of phytoplankton growth by ammonium enrichment in eutrophic hardwater lakes over 16 years. Limnol. Oceanogr. 64: S130–S149. doi:[10.1002/lno.11093](https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.11093)
- Tammeorg, O., and others. 2023. Sustainable lake restoration: From challenges to solutions. WIREs Water 11: e1689. doi: [10.1002/wat2.1689](https://doi.org/10.1002/wat2.1689)
- US Environmental Protection Agency. 2015a. Online [accessed xxxx]. Available from. [https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/](https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2015-06/documents/report-recommended-phosphorus-loading-targets-lake-erie-201505.pdf) fi[les/2015-06/documents/report-recommended](https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2015-06/documents/report-recommended-phosphorus-loading-targets-lake-erie-201505.pdf)[phosphorus-loading-targets-lake-erie-201505.pdf](https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2015-06/documents/report-recommended-phosphorus-loading-targets-lake-erie-201505.pdf)
- US Environmental Protection Agency. 2015b. Preventing eutrophication: Scientific support for dual nutrient criteria. Fact sheet technical document EPA—820-S-15- 001. Washington, DC. Available from [https://www.epa.](https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/documents/nandpfactsheet.pdf) gov/sites/default/fi[les/documents/nandpfactsheet.pdf](https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/documents/nandpfactsheet.pdf)
- Verhamme, E. M., T. M. Redder, D. A. Schlea, J. Grush, J. Bratton, and J. Z. DePinto. 2016. Development of the western Lake Erie ecosystem model (WLEEM): Application to connect phosphorus loads to cyanobacteria biomass. J. Great Lakes Res. 42: 1193–1205. doi:[10.1016/J.JGLR.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JGLR.2016.09.006) [2016.09.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JGLR.2016.09.006)

- Wilhelm, S. W., F. L. Hellweger, R. M. Martin, C. Schampera, F. Eigemann, D. J. Smith, and G. J. Dick. 2022. Response to "Model assumptions limit implications for nitrogen and phosphorus management": The need to move beyond the phosphorus = biomass = toxin doctrine. J. Great Lakes Res. 48: 1738–1739. doi:[10.1016/j.jglr.2022.10.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jglr.2022.10.001)
- Wurtsbaugh, W. A., H. W. Paerl, and W. K. Dodds. 2019. Nutrients, eutrophication and harmful algal blooms along the freshwater to marine continuum. WIREs Water 6: e1373. doi:[10.1002/wat2.1373](https://doi.org/10.1002/wat2.1373)
- Xu, H., and others. 2021. Contributions of external nutrient loading and internal cycling to cyanobacterial bloom dynamics in Lake Taihu, China: Implications for nutrient management. Limnol. Oceanogr. 66: 1492–1509. doi:[10.](https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.11700) [1002/lno.11700](https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.11700)
- Yoshiyama, K., and J. H. Sharp. 2006. Phytoplankton response to nutrient enrichment in an urbanized estuary: Apparent inhibition of primary production by over eutrophication. Limnol. Oceanogr. 51: 424–434. doi:[10.4319/lo.2006.51.1_](https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2006.51.1_part_2.0424) [part_2.0424](https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2006.51.1_part_2.0424)
- Zepernick, B. N., R. M. Martin, G. S. Bullerjahn, R. M. L. McKay, H. W. Paerl, and S. W. Wilhelm. 2024. A tale of two blooms: Do ecological paradigms for Lake Erie algal bloom success and succession require revisiting? J. Great Lakes Res. 50: 102336. doi:[10.1016/j.jglr.2024.102336](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jglr.2024.102336)
- Zhou, Y., D. R. Obenour, D. Scavia, T. H. Johengen, and A. M. Michalak. 2013. Spatial and temporal trends in Lake Erie hypoxia, 1987–2007. Environ. Sci. Technol. 47: 899–905. doi[:10.1021/es303401b](https://doi.org/10.1021/es303401b)
- Zhou, Y., A. M. Michalak, D. Beletsky, Y. R. Rao, and R. P. Richards. 2014. Record-breaking Lake Erie hypoxia during 2012 drought. Environ. Sci. Technol. 49: 800–807. doi:[10.](https://doi.org/10.1021/es503981n) [1021/es503981n](https://doi.org/10.1021/es503981n)

Acknowledgments

We appreciate the assistance of Keara Stanislawczyk with nutrient analyses and Karen Rossignol and Randolph Sloup with technical support. Christopher Ward, George Bullerjahn, and their students and technicians at Bowling Green State University assisted with bioassay setup and sample processing at the Stone Laboratory. This work was supported by the National Science Foundation (1803697, 1831096, 1840715, 2108917, 2418066), and the National Institutes of Health (1P01ES028939-01, 2P01ES028939-06).

Conflict of Interest

None declared.

Submitted 06 April 2024 Revised 10 July 2024 Accepted 28 September 2024

Associate Editor: John Downing